



**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

לשימוש הלשכה  
For Office Use

חוק הפטנטים התשכ"ז 1967-  
PATENTS LAW, 5727-1967

ב ק ש ה ל פ ט נ ט  
Application for Patent

מספר: Number	159305
תאריך: Date	10-12-2003
הוקדם/נדחה Ante/Post-dated	

אני, (שם המבקש, מענו - ולגבי גוף מאוגד - מקום התאגדותו)  
I (Name and address of applicant, and, in case of a body corporate, place of incorporation)

LEXTRAN LTD.  
Industrial Zone (P.O. Box 12)  
12900 Katzerin  
ISRAEL

לקסטרן בע"מ  
אזור התעשייה (ת.ד. 12)  
12900 קצרין

ששמה הוא:  
Owner, by virtue of

בעל אמצאה מכח הדין  
of an invention, the title of which is:

שיטה לסילוק גזים רעילים מגזי פליטה

(בעברית)  
(Hebrew)


A METHOD FOR REMOVING TOXIC GASES FROM WASTE GAS

(באנגלית)  
(English)

ממציאים: גרגורי פיפקו; אלברט מיינץ; חיים שטרנפיין; אהרון ארנון  
Inventor(s): Gregori Pipko; Albert Meintz; Haim Sternfine; Aharon Arnon

hereby apply for a patent to be granted to me in respect thereof.

מבקש בזאת כי ינתן לי עליה פטנט.

* בקשת חלוקה - Application for Division	* בקשת פטנט מוסף Application for Patent of Addition	* דרישת דין קדימה Priority Claim		
* מבקשת פטנט from Application	* לבקשה / לפטנט To Patent/Apl.	מספר/סימן Number/Mark	תאריך Date	מדינת האירוע Convention Country
No. _____ מס' Dated _____ מיום	No. _____ מס' Dated _____ מיום			
* יפוי כח: כללי/מיוחד - רצוף בזה / עוד יוגש P.O.A.: general / specific - attached / to be filed later - הוגש בענין _____ Has been filed in case _____				
המען למסירת הודעות ומסמכים בישראל Address for Service in Israel רינהולד כהן ושותפיו עורכי פטנטים רח' אחד העם 21 תל-אביב ת"ד 4060 תל-אביב 61040				
חתימת המבקש Signature of Applicant For the Applicants REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS By: 		היום _____ שנה _____ 200 This of 200		
		לשימוש הלשכה For Office Use		

REFERENCE: 2016-1-0 סימוכין:

טופס זה, כשהוא מוטבע בחותם לשכת הפטנטים ומושלם במספר ובתאריך ההגשה, הינו אישור להגשת הבקשה שפרטיה רשומים לעיל.  
This form, impressed with the Seal of the Patent Office and indicating the number and date of filing, certifies the filing of the application, the particulars of which are set out above.

\* מחק את המיותר המיותר Delete whatever is inapplicable

שיטה לסילוק גזים רעילים מגזי פליטה

A METHOD FOR REMOVING TOXIC GASES  
FROM WASTE GAS

## **A METHOD FOR REMOVING TOXIC GASES FROM WASTE GAS**

### **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to a method for removing toxic gases from waste gases.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Industrially developed countries generate billions of tons of air pollutants, a great part accounts to the combustion of coal, oil, and gasoline in electric power plants. Other major air pollution sources include petroleum refineries, cement plants and petrochemical plants.

Hg (mercury) is a naturally occurring metal used in a wide variety of applications ranging from the production of household bleach to the mining of gold. Hg is released into the environment either directly to water via waste systems, or much more commonly, directed to the atmosphere. It is this atmospheric pathway that is largely responsible for Hg contamination in the environment. The combustion of coal for energy production, and incineration of municipal and medical wastes, produces the majority of Hg deposited onto the watersheds.

In the atmosphere, Hg undergoes a wide variety of chemical transformations, eventually settling to the landscape as Hg attached to particulate matter such as soot. Once on the ground, Hg migrates through watersheds, arriving eventually into receiving waters (e.g. wetlands and lakes). Hg that is moving through watersheds is subject to myriad chemical transformations, and these are often biologically mediated. The most important of these biological transformations

is the generation of methyl-mercury (Me-Hg). Me-Hg is a highly toxic form of Hg, which is easily assimilated into tiny planktonic organisms at the base of aquatic food chains. Through the processes of bio-magnification, minute concentrations of Me-Hg are passed up food chains, increasing to levels that pose a significant threat to those organisms feeding at the top of the aquatic food web. Organisms which are at risk of Me-Hg exposure include top-level carnivorous fish such as walleye, fish-eating birds such as eagle and loons, and, of course, humans. It is noteworthy that some fish species are better at getting rid of their Me-Hg burden than others.

The increasing environmental awareness during the last decades has led in many countries to governmental regulations, enforcing standards for maximum air pollutants emission on power plants and industries, in order to achieve air quality standards for various hazard materials. For example, in the U.S., the Clean Air Act of 1967 as amended in 1970, 1977, and 1990 is the legal basis for air-pollution control throughout the U.S.

The need to obey these regulations has led to the development of diversified methods for controlling and reducing the emission of air pollutants. In general, these methods include removing the hazardous material before it is used (for example, using low-content sulfur coal) or removing the pollutant after it is formed. For some air pollutants, only methods of the second class can be employed.

The present invention relates to methods of the second class.

Industrially emitted pollutant gases can be entrapped by liquids or solids traps that adsorb the harmful gases before they are released into the atmosphere. ~~These traps~~ are usually in the form of tower tanks contactors through which the waste gas is passed upward while the liquid, or a slurry, i.e. a mixture of liquids and solids, is descending downward. The agent which absorbs the pollutants and prevents their emitting into the atmosphere is called the scrubbing agent. When this agent is a liquid, it is sometimes the practice to fill the tower with inert particles in order to increase the contact surface between the scrubbing agent and the waste gas and to increase the residence time of the gas inside the reactor. In such cases it is

also the practice to circulate the liquid scrubbing agent through the reactor until it is loaded with the pollutants.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method for removing mercury (Hg) gas (vapors) , from waste gases by contacting the waste gas with a scrubbing agent being organic sulfoxides either in pure form or as with an emulsion of water-in-organic sulfoxides.

The waste gas can be any waste gas containing mercury gases or mercury vapors, such as combustion flue gas and waste gases generated in various chemical and industrial processes.

The organic sulfoxides are preferably oil-derived sulfoxides and in particular sulfoxides derived from diesel. The weight ratio of the water: organic sulfoxide in the emulsion is in the range 10:90 to 90: 10, preferably in the range 10:90 to 50:50, most preferably 70% sulfoxide to 30% w/w of water.

The process of the present invention is carried out in an oxidation environment. Therefore if the waste gas mixture does not contain enough oxygen, an additional stream of air enriched with ozone (ozonated air) is added to the waste gas. . Alternatively, oxidized water  $H_2O_2$  may be used as the oxidation agent. In such a case the process may be used to eliminate Hg , as well as elimination of other toxic gases such as  $SO_2$  and  $NO_2$ .

Where a water-in-organic sulfoxide emulsion is used the scrubbing agent can be regenerated after it is loaded, by letting said emulsion separate into two phases, collecting the upper sulfoxide phase and adding to said sulfoxide phase a fresh amount of aqueous solution.

According to the present invention, contacting the waste gas with the scrubbing agent can be conducted in a tower embedded with inert particles, wherein the waste gas is passed upward through the tower and the pure organic sulfoxide or the emulsion or water-in-organic sulfoxide is circulated downward in a rate which ensures complete wetting of inert particles or can be conducted in a

tower through which the waste gas is passed in an upward direction and the emulsion is sprayed into the tower from the upper opening of the tower forming a fog of said emulsion inside the tower.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Organic sulfoxides are known for their acid extraction properties. Of special interest are oil derived sulfoxides that are obtained by the oxidation of organic sulfides contained in oil. By oxidizing and extracting different fractions of oil, a mixture of sulfoxides is obtained, having molecular weight and boiling temperature according to the oil fraction from which they are derived. The diesel fraction (boiling temperature 190-360°C) is in particular a good source for oil-derived-sulfoxides, since this fraction is relatively rich in sulfur and the sulfoxide mixture so obtained is a liquid of low-cost.

## EXAMPLES

The following experiments demonstrate and clarify the present invention and do not intend to limit the scope of the invention by any way.

### Example 1

In a glass tube having the following size: 500 mm length, diameter 30 mm, 70 ml sulfoxide were introduced into the glass tube. Air was blown from the compressor through the column at a rate of 1.5 l/min. Additional mercury (Hg) vapor (58 µg) were added to the original air blown. The mercury concentrations after contact with sulfoxide in the column were reduced to 0 microgram, meaning that virtually all the mercury was removed.

Mercury concentrations was determined according to EPA-101A Method by absorbing in  $\text{KMnO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution and atomic absorption cold vapor analysts).

### Example 2

The same procedure as in Example 1 was repeated, but instead of pure sulfoxide a mixture 35 ml sulfoxide + 35 ml doucane (high boiling point aliphatic hydrocarbon solvent) was used. Mercury vapor concentration in air was 45 microgram before removal by column, and 0 microgram after column removal , so that here again all mercury was removed.

### Example 3

The same procedure as in Example 1 was repeated, but instead of pure sulfoxide liquid emulsion containing 70 ml sulfoxide + 30 ml water was used.

Mercury vapor concentration before column removal was 58 microgram, and after column removal , 0 microgram so that in this example as well all mercury was eliminated.

### Example 4

The same procedure as in Example 3 was repeated but instead of air and mercury vapor, a mixture of air with SO<sub>2</sub> and mercury vapor: 1.5 l/mn air + 1000 ppm, SO<sub>4</sub> + 58 microgram Hg was used.

pH in water phase was 4 - 6;

SO<sub>2</sub> absorption was by the column was 90%, Hg concentration after column was 0 microgram so that here as well all Hg was absorbed .

### Example 5

The same procedure as in Example 4 was used, but instead of air, SO<sub>2</sub> and Kg vapors the following was used: air - 1.5 l/min. SO<sub>2</sub> - 1000 ppm, NO<sub>2</sub>-150 ppm, NO - 100 ppm, Hg - 58 microgram/m<sup>3</sup>; pH water phase was 4 ÷ 6;

SO<sub>2</sub> absorption after column was 90%; NO<sub>2</sub> absorption after column was 75%;

NO<sub>2</sub> absorption was 50%.

Mercury concentration was 0 microgram after column scrubbing.



### Example 6

The same procedure as in Example 2 was used, but instead of sulfoxide – doucan solution organic phase of sulfoxide (70 ml) with 30 ml water were emulsified and used . Mercury concentration before column was 135 microgram/m<sup>3</sup>, after column – 0 microgram/m<sup>3</sup>.

As can be seen from the above the method of the invention was capable of eliminating virtually all mercury vapors.

### Additional Experimental Data

Additional experimental data is attached in the following tables 1.1 – 1.5 and 2.1 – 2.3 of the Mercury Vapours Absorbion Experiment performed according to the following details:

The Purpose of Experiment: To test the Mercury Vapours Absorbion Efficiency by Laboratory-Scale Column with Absorbion Liquids according to the present invention.

Test Methods: 1. Mercury Vapours Analyser.  
2. Mercury Vapours Determination, based on EPA-101A Method.  
(absorbing in KMnO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Solution and Atomic Absorbion Cold Vapours Analysis).

Instruments and Apparates: 1. JEROME Mercury Vapours Analyser.  
Low Detection Level - 1 mcg/m<sup>3</sup> of Mercury Vapours.  
2. Personal Sampling Pumps (SKC AirChek-52, Flow Controller)  
3. BIOS DRICAL 5.0 Flowrate Calibrator (0.0001 L/min, Primary Standard).  
4. Midget Bubbler (Supelco, BoroSilicate Glass, 25 ml "dead volume").  
5. Mercury Vapours Generator.  
6. Lextran lab-scale Column (Absorber).

## Final Results.

### 1. Measurements by JEROME Mercury Vapours Analyser.

#### 1.1. Absorbing Solution: DAUKAN + 30% Water Emulsion.

Measure	Time	Mercury Vapours Conc-n	Remarks
No.	HH:mm	mcg/m3	
Column Inlet			
1	16:07	108	Gas flowrate: 1.33 Lpm
		107	
		93	
		105	
	Average	103	
Column Outlet			
2	16:21	0	Gas flowrate: 1.32 Lpm
		0	
		0	
		0	
	Average	0	

#### 1.2. Absorbing Solution: SulfOxide 100%

Measure	Time	Mercury Vapours Conc-n	Remarks
No.	HH:mm	mcg/m3	
Column Inlet			
1	16:32	58	Gas flowrate: 1.46 Lpm
		59	
		57	
		57	
	Average	58	
Column Outlet			
2	16:39	0	Gas flowrate: 1.44 Lpm
		0	
		0	
		0	
	Average	0	

**1.3. Absorbing Solution: SulfOxide + 30 % Water Emulsion.**

Measure	Time	Mercury Vapours Conc-n	Remarks
No.	HH:mm	mcg/m3	
Column Inlet			
1	16:44	55	Gas flowrate: 1.27 Lpm
		57	
		56	
		57	
	Average	56	
Column Outlet			
2	16:48	0	Gas flowrate: 1.24 Lpm
		0	
		0	
		0	
	Average	0	

**1.4. Absorbing Solution: SulfOxide + 10% DAUKAN.**

Measure	Time	Mercury Vapours Conc-n	Remarks
No.	HH:mm	mcg/m3	
Column Inlet			
1	17:10	41	Gas flowrate: not Measured
		45	
		47	
		44	
	Average	44	
Column Outlet			
2	17:14	0	Gas flowrate: not Measured
		0	
		0	
		0	
	Average	0	

**1.5. Absorbing Solution: SulfOxide + Additives + 30% Water Emulsion.**

Measure	Time	Mercury Vapours Conc-n	Remarks
No.	HH:mm	mcg/m3	
Column Inlet			
1	17:30	35	Gas flowrate: not Measured
		36	
		40	
		40	
	Average	38	
Column Outlet			
2	17:36	3	Gas flowrate: not Measured
		4	
		4	
		4	
	Average	4	

2. Measurements by JEROME Mercury Vapours Analyser and EPA-101A Based Sampling (Simultaneously).

2.1. Absorbing Solution: SulfoXide + Additives + 30% Water Emulsion.

Measure No.	Sampling Time HH:mm	Sampling Time min	Sampling Flowrate Lpm	Gas Volume Sampled L	Sample Code	Sample Volume ml	Mercury Conc-n in the Sample mcg/L	Mercury Content in the Sample mcg	Mercury Conc-n in the Gas Stream mcg/m3	Remarks	JEROME Reading mcg/m3
<b>Column Inlet</b>											
1	18:07-19:07	60	0.372	22.32	G-1533	15.2	28	0.426	19.1		27
<b>Column Outlet</b>											
2	18:07-19:07	60	1.219	73.14	G-1534	15.0	5	0.075	1.03	< LOD	3

2.2. Absorbing Solution: DAUKAN 100%

Measure No.	Sampling Time HH:mm	Sampling Time min	Sampling Flowrate Lpm	Gas Volume Sampled L	Sample Code	Sample Volume ml	Mercury Conc-n in the Sample mcg/L	Mercury Content in the Sample mcg	Mercury Conc-n in the Gas Stream mcg/m3	Remarks	JEROME Readings mcg/m3
<b>Column Inlet</b>											
3	19:22-20:22	60	0.446	26.76	G-1535	15.0	38	0.570	21.3		20
<b>Column Outlet</b>											
4	19:22-20:22	60	1.218	73.08	G-1536	15.0	5	0.075	1.03	< LOD	0

2.3. Mercury Concentration in Absorbing Solution: SulfoXide + 10% DAUKAN.

Sample Code:..... **G-1537**

Mercury Concentration in the Sample:.. **7 mcg/L**

**CLAIMS:**

1. A method for removing mercury vapors from waste gas comprising contacting said waste gas with a scrubbing agent comprising organic sulfoxides.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the scrubbing agent is essentially pure organic sulfoxides.
3. A method according to claim 1, wherein the scrubbing agent is an emulsion of water-in-organic sulfoxides.
4. A method according to claim 1 wherein the waste gas is a combustion flue gas.
5. A method according to claim 1 wherein the waste gas is a gas mixture released from a chemical process.
6. A method according to claim 1 wherein a stream of air or of ozonated air is added to the stream of waste gas.
7. A method according to claim 1 wherein the organic sulfoxides are oil derived sulfoxides.
8. A method according to claim 6 wherein the oil derived sulfoxides are derived from the diesel fraction of oil.
9. A method according to claim 3 wherein the weight ratio of water: organic sulfoxide in the emulsion is in the range 10:90 to 90: 10.
10. A method according to claim 9 wherein the weight ratio of water: organic sulfoxide in the emulsion is in the range 10:90 to 50:50.

11. A method according to claim 9 wherein the weight ratio of water: organic sulfoxide in the emulsion is in the range 30:70 w/w/.
12. A method according to claim 3 wherein the scrubbing agent is regenerated after it is loaded, by letting said scrubbing agent to separate into two phases, collecting the upper sulfoxide phase and adding to said sulfoxide phase a fresh amount of aqueous solution.
13. A method according to claim 1 wherein contacting the waste gas with said scrubbing agent is conducted in a tower embedded with inert particles and wherein the waste gas is passed upward through the tower and the scrubbing agent is circulated downward in a rate which ensures complete wetting of inert particles.
14. A method according to claim 1 wherein contacting the waste gas with said scrubbing agent is conducted in a tower through which the waste gas is passed in an upward direction and the scrubbing agent is sprayed into the tower from the upper opening of the tower forming a fog of said scrubbing agent the tower.

For the Applicants  
REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS  
By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping, stylized loops and curves.

# Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/IL2004/001120

International filing date: 12 December 2004 (12.12.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: IL  
Number: 159305  
Filing date: 10 December 2003 (10.12.2003)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 20 January 2005 (20.01.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland  
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**